UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,562	08/15/2003	William F. Jones	2003P11239US	6621
7590 07/24/2008 Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South			EXAMINER	
			CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/644,562	JONES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MELVIN A. CARTAGENA	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —	· 					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	coloction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8152003</u> . 6)						

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 9-20 in the reply filed on May 7, 2008 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 9, 10, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,974,752 to Sirek.

Sirek shows a housing 12, heaters 37 and 38 coupled to the housing, a removable hollow tube 19 coupled to the housing at an orifice 17, an ejector device, see Fig. 5, a channel 18, an electrical switch and temperature control 56, indicating lights 54 and 55, a trigger 23, a ram piston 29 and a standard power plug 27.

With respect to using the device to dispense support components in a generator, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 11, 15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,974,752 to Sirek in view of US 5,979,794 to DeFillipi et al.

Sirek shows all claimed features as discussed above except for an air driven piston and a mixer for mixing two components. DeFillipi shows a dispenser for hot adhesive actuated by a pneumatic piston and cylinder 60 and a mixing element 38 to mix two components. it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Sirek to dispense two mixed temperature conditioned products using a pneumatic driven piston to increase the point of application control over the flow of the adhesive as taught by DeFillipi.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,974,752 to Sirek in view of US 5,188,259 to Petit.

Sirek shows all claimed features as discussed above except for an electrical driven piston instead of a mechanical driven piston. Petit shows hot adhesive dispenser using an electrically driven piston, see Fig. 3. Petit shows that an electrically driven drive is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two elements were art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute a mechanical drive for an electrical drive.

Art Unit: 3754

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,974,752 to Sirek in view of US 4,889,440 to Shano.

Sirek shows all claimed features as discussed above but is silent about the indicating lights 54 and 55 being Diodes. Shano shows hot adhesive dispenser using indicating LED. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the light indicators of the device of Sirek for LED to increase the reliability of the light indicators as taught by Shano.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELVIN A. CARTAGENA whose telephone number is (571)272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30AM to 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/Kevin P. Shaver/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3754